

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7 JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,  
8 Plaintiffs,  
9 v.  
10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,  
11 Defendants.

3:08-cv-0246-LDG  
(Kroshus I)

12 ALICIA UHOUSE, et al.,  
13 Plaintiffs,  
14 v.  
15 THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
16 THE INTERIOR, et al.,  
17 Defendants.

3:08-cv-0285-LDG

18 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,  
19 Plaintiffs,  
20 v.  
21 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
22 Defendant.

3:08-cv-0621-LDG  
(Adamson I)

1 LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,

3:09-cv-0167-LDG

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Defendants.

---

6 JAMES ADGETT, et al.,

3:09-cv-0649-LDG

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

10 Defendant.

---

11 JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,

3:09-cv-0713-LDG  
(Kroshus II)

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

15 Defendants.

---

16 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,

3:09-cv-0715-LDG  
(Adamson II)

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

20 Defendant.

---

21 JASON AMES, et al.,

3:10-cv-0463-LDG

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

25 Defendant.

1       In conformity with the subdivision of pending motions for oral arguments and rulings  
2 announced by the court in its order of March 16, 2011, and in the further interests of case  
3 management of this litigation,

4       THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the following motions are DENIED without  
5 prejudice subject to their reinstatement by the court in the due course of the aforementioned  
6 proceedings:

7       Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG

8       Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on the issue of the Bureau of  
9 Reclamation's level of flows through the Truckee Canal (#857)

10       Adamson v. United States, 3:08-cv-00621-LDG

11       United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
12 immunity (#230)

13       Moore v. United States, 3:09-cv-0167-LDG

14       United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
15 immunity (#219)

16       Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG

17       United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
18 immunity (#161)

19       Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG

20       TRC Engineers, Inc.'s, defendants' motion for summary judgment against the 58  
21 individuals in Kroshus II who are not members of the Reimers class action (#368)

22       Adamson v. United States, 3:09-cv-0715-LDG

23       United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
24 immunity (#170)

25       Ames v. United States, 3:10-cv-0463-LDG

26       United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function  
immunity (#112)

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, as approval of class settlement and distribution  
of settlement funds has been granted, the following related motions for approval of settlement of

1 class action pursuant to FRCP 23(e) and distribution of settlement funds are hereby DENIED in  
2 the following cases as moot: Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#848);  
3 Uhouse v. Bureau of Reclamation, 3:08-cv-0285-LDG (#458); and Kroshus v. United States  
4 (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG (#384).

5 DATED this 27 day of September, 2013.

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Lloyd D. George  
United States District Judge

